

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,584	10/09/2003	Yung Chang Liang	TRNDP015	7721
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 02/05/2008 /ER LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			LEMMA, SAMSON B	
OAKLAND, C	A 94612-0250	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/683,584	LIANG, YUNG CHANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samson B. Lemma	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 De	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2007.					
•—	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o/					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/683,584

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. The request filed on December 27, 2007 for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on patent application 10/683,584 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. There are two independent claims, namely claims 1 and 10 and both claims have been amended.
Claims 1-18 are pending/examined.

Priority

- This application claims priority from provisional application 60/481,313 filed
 on August 29, 2003.
- 3. Therefore, the effective filling data for the subject matter defined in the pending claims of this application is 08/29/2003.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on December 27, 2007 with respect to **claims 1-18**, have been considered (are found to be persuasive) but are moot in view of allowance.

However a careful reading/review of the amended claims reveals the following ground/s of rejection/s (double patent-rejection and 112, 1st rejection).

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937,

Application/Control Page 3

Number: 10/683,584 Art Unit: 2132

214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness- type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 7,287/278 (hereinafter refereed as '278 patent). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all elements of claims 1-18 correspond to elements of claims 1-6 of the 278' patent. The above claims of the present application would have been obvious over claims 1-6 of the 278' patent because each element of the claims of the present application is anticipated by the claims of the 278' patent. (See for instance<u>, each and every elements of independent </u>claims 1 and 10 of the instant application corresponds to or (recited in) independent claim 1 of the '278 Patent).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. .
- Claim 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to 8. comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Application/Control Page 4

Number: 10/683,584

Art Unit: 2132

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification/original disclosure fails to mention/specify or teach the limitation "computer-readable medium", which is added on the above respective claims when the amendment was filed on 04/11/2007.

This limitation was added for the purpose overcoming the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection set forth in the pervious office action. (See for instance office action mailed on 01/11/2007).

Based on a thorough review of the entire disclosure and a text search for "computer-readable medium", there is no "readily apparent support" for this limitation. This raises a question which mediums are explicitly included/excluded with this limitation. Without such explicit recitation in the original disclosure, the office would not be able to determine what constitutes the "computer-readable medium". In other words, with out the support in the specification, it may be the case that such limitation might also includes or directed to a non-statutory subject matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. **Claims 1-18** would be allowable if the nonstatutory double patenting rejection set forth in this office action is overcome.
- 10. Claims 10-18 would also be allowable if the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection set forth in this office action is overcome.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samson B Lemma whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control

Number: 10/683,584

Art Unit: 2132

272-3806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 am---4:

30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, BARRON JR GILBERTO can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571 -873-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAMSON LEMMA

01/31/2008

GILBERTO BARRON J/C SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100